

WPEC/Sub-Group 33 meeting

Port Jefferson, USA

24 June 2009 (9:00- 17:00)

PROPOSED AGENDA

- **Introduction**

- **participants, co-ordination, scope and objectives**
- **mandate: method of work**

- **Review of different adjustment methods in use**

- **Presentations from members: INL,...**
- **Discussion**

- **Deliverables:**

- **Lead, contributors and schedule for a summary report on existing methodologies**
- **Possible tests, comparisons etc, as foreseen in the mandate**

- **Proposals for collaborative work and successive phases (e.g. comparison of multigroup adjustments starting from a common selected integral data base; comparison of more fundamental approaches, e.g. involving basic physics parameters etc)**

- **Discussion and work assignments**

- **Conclusions**

- **Any other business and date of next meeting**

WPEC sub-group 33

"Methods and issues for the combined use of integral experiments and covariance data"

Justification for a Subgroup

As a result of the work performed in the WPEC Subgroup 26 on "Nuclear Data Needs for Advanced Reactor Systems" many target accuracies for different reactions, isotopes, and energy ranges have been defined in order to satisfy design requirement uncertainty for many integral neutronic parameters. Many of these target accuracies are very tight and not likely to be achieved with current experimental measurement techniques. **It has been suggested that a combined use of integral experiments and differential information** (e.g., measurements, evaluation, uncertainty data) would make possible to provide designers with improved nuclear data that would be able to meet design target accuracies. **Since there is no general consensus on "a best method," as different approaches and practices are currently in use for performing data adjustments** (or assimilation), WPEC can offer the frame for an international activity aiming to a better understanding of the different approaches.

Subgroup Monitor: *R.D. McKnight, ANL (ENDF)*

Subgroup Coordinator: *M. Salvatores, ANL&INL. **New proposal: G.Palmiotti as co-coordinator***

Subgroup Participants

FRANCE

Dr Cyrille DE SAINT JEAN Saint-Paul-lez-Duran CADARACHE cyrille.de-saint-jean@cea.fr
Prof. Massimo SALVATORES Saint-Paul-lez-Duran CADARACHE massimo.salvatores@cea.fr

JAPAN

Dr. Makoto ISHIKAWA Oarai JAEAOARAI ishikawa.makoto@jaea.go.jp
Dr. Keiichi SHIBATA Tokai-mura JAEATOK-SC shibata.keiichi@jaea.go.jp
Mr. Kazuteru SUGINO Shiraki JAEASHIRAK sugino.kazuteru@jaea.go.jp

NETHERLANDS

Dr. Alfred HOGENBIRK Petten NRGPETTEN hogenbirk@nrg.eu
Dr. Dimitri ROCHMAN Petten NRGPETTEN rochman@nrg.eu

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Dr. Anatoly V. IGNATYUK Obninsk IPPE ignatyuk@ippe.ru

SLOVENIA

Dr. Ivo KODELI Ljubljana LJUBLJANA ivan.kodeli@ijs.si
Dr. Andrej TRKOV Ljubljana LJUBLJANA Andrej.Trkov@ijs.si

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Dr. Gerardo ALIBERTI Argonne ANL aliberti@anl.gov
Mr. Michael DUNN Oak Ridge ORNL dunnme@ornl.gov
Dr. Toshihiko KAWANO Los Alamos LANL kawano@lanl.gov
Dr. Richard MCKNIGHT Argonne ANL rdmcknight@anl.gov
Dr. Pavel OBLOZINSKY Upton BNL oblozinsky@bnl.gov
Giuseppe PALMIOTTI Idaho Falls INL Giuseppe.Palmiotti@inl.gov
Dr. Marco Tiziano PIGNI Upton BNL pigni@bnl.gov
Dr. Patrick TALOU Los Alamos LANL talou@lanl.gov
Dr. Won Sik YANG Argonne ANL wyang@anl.gov

International Organisations

Dr. Claes NORDBORG Issy-les-Moulineaux NEA claes.nordborg@oecd.org
Dr. Yolanda RUGAMA SAEZ Issy-les-Moulineaux NEADB rugama@nea.fr

Definition of the project and proposed activities

*It is proposed as a mandate for this new WPEC subgroup to study methods and issues of the **combined use of integral experiments and covariance data,***

*with the objective of **recommending a set of best and consistent practices** in order to improve evaluated nuclear data files.*

*Indication should be provided on **how to best exploit existing integral experiments, define new ones if needed,** provide trends and feedback to nuclear data evaluators and measurers.*

***Participation of evaluators** (to account for feedback to the files) and a **close link to related activities** like those coordinated by the WPNCs expert group on Uncertainty Analysis for Criticality Safety Assessment (UACSA) should be clearly established.*

Working method

1. Review current methods and practices, including feedback from past work.

Consider innovative approaches, as well as the methods used in non-nuclear fields when applicable.

Pre-select candidate methods for testing. Summarize findings.

2. Define test cases and input data to be used in the evaluation of the pre-selected methods.

For the purpose of assessing the relative merits of different approaches, the participants should agree to define

a) the set input parameters to be adjusted, along with a priori uncertainties and correlations,

b) a set of relevant (possibly simplified) integral experiments, with their associated uncertainties and correlations, and c) the type of performance parameters, where uncertainties have to be reduced.

The integral experiments will be calculated, along with the necessary sensitivity coefficients, according to the type of parameters to be adjusted.

The tests should allow to compare the robustness of the methods e.g. for extrapolation, etc.

3. On the basis of the test results and analysis, recommend a general methodology for data assimilation and for assessing the needs for additional experiments.

Time-Schedule and Deliverables

It is anticipated that the experts of this SG could complete and document the activities (mandate) listed above within about 2 years.

Date Deliverables

□ January, 2009 Review of subgroup proposal by NSC bureau;

Initiate subgroup activities.

□ June, 2011 Present draft report of subgroup activities;

WPEC consideration of potential implementation of subgroup recommendations.

This is **Ishikawa at JAEA, Japan**.

Thank you very much for sending the agenda of WPEC SG33 on June 24 in New York. However, I have to inform you that JAEA cannot let Mr.Sugino attend the meeting due to some non-technical reasons. This means JAEA cannot make the presentation about the adjustment methodology used in Japan there. I am very sorry about this absence for the important discussions in the first SG33 meeting.

(1) I have attached a paper which summarizes the utilization of integral experimental data to improve the accuracy of FBR nuclear design in Japan. If you need more information, please let me know.

(2) **JAEA would like to contribute to the summary report on existing methodologies, and to the collaborative work under the SG33 activity.**

Please count in JAEA when you make these plans in the meeting.

(3) Fortunately, **Mr.Katakura**, the head of Japan Nuclear Data Center, will attend the SG33 meeting as an observer, and bring back questions or requests to JAEA if you ask him there.