

Guidelines for Presenters, Chairs and Discussants for a workshop on

The nuclear and social science nexus: challenges and opportunities for speaking across the disciplinary divide

Each session at the workshop will feature up to four presenters, a chair and two discussants. Presenters will first give their talks consecutively, then each of the two discussants will present a 5-minute commentary, followed by a discussion with the audience, and concluding remarks (2-3 minutes) from the chair.

Guidelines for presenters

Presenters are asked to aim to talk no longer than 10 minutes (with an absolute upper limit of 12 minutes). Acknowledging that the workshop participants, your audience, is intellectually and regionally quite diverse and made up of both practitioners and researchers, we ask that you clearly describe the theoretical and/or empirical motivation for your work, your research question and situate your work in academic discipline(s) and literature(s), as appropriate. While you may choose to follow the traditional structure of sequentially describing research questions, methods, results, discussion, and conclusions, we would advise you to specifically emphasize what your research findings suggest for the work of practitioners and policymakers, and how you would translate your research findings into implications for practice.

A possible structure for talks is as follows: 1) What were your research questions, methods and findings? (7-8 minutes), 2) What are the implications and practical applications of your results for practitioners and policymakers? What is your approach or methodology for translating the results into implications for practice? (3-4 minutes). Presenters are strongly advised to use no more than 10 slides (you may exceed this limit somewhat if the slides are primarily made up of pictures, figures and quotes.) Presenters are requested to email their slides to Aditi Verma Aditi.Verma@oecd-nea.org as powerpoint or pdf files by December 11 or bring them on a usb stick to the workshop.

Guidelines for discussants

Instead of commenting on papers individually, discussants are invited to reflect on the papers in a comparative sense and their intellectual and practical implications for the empirical category that is the focus of the session in question. Do the papers suggest complementary or conflicting implications for practice? To what extent are the findings generalizable temporally and across different practitioner and policymaker settings? If implemented in practice, how might the findings in the papers alter or lead to a reimagining of the empirical category in question? Discussants may also wish to reflect on what the papers collectively suggest for successfully bridging the academic-practitioner and nuclear and social science divide for the empirical category in question.

Guidelines for chairs

Chairs are requested to keep to time and limit speaking times to 12 minutes per speaker, as well as to ensure that their session does not run over time. In their concluding remarks, chairs may wish to comment on possible future areas of research they see as arising from the set of papers presented their session.

Discussants and chairs are requested to submit written versions of their commentaries of 700-1000 words (including any observations after the fact) by December 23 to Aditi.VERMA@oecd-nea.org