

**Unclassified**

**NEA/CNRA/R(2003)3**



Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Economiques  
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

**14-Mar-2003**

**English text only**

**NUCLEAR ENERGY AGENCY  
COMMITTEE ON NUCLEAR REGULATORY ACTIVITIES**

**NEA/CNRA/R(2003)3  
Unclassified**

**WORKING GROUP ON INSPECTION PRACTICES**

**INSPECTION OF FUEL CYCLE FACILITIES IN NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES**

**March 2003**

**JT00140930**

Document complet disponible sur OLIS dans son format d'origine  
Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

**English text only**

## ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Pursuant to Article 1 of the Convention signed in Paris on 14th December 1960, and which came into force on 30th September 1961, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) shall promote policies designed:

- to achieve the highest sustainable economic growth and employment and a rising standard of living in Member countries, while maintaining financial stability, and thus to contribute to the development of the world economy;
- to contribute to sound economic expansion in Member as well as non-member countries in the process of economic development; and
- to contribute to the expansion of world trade on a multilateral, non-discriminatory basis in accordance with international obligations.

The original Member countries of the OECD are Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Kingdom and the United States. The following countries became Members subsequently through accession at the dates indicated hereafter: Japan (28th April 1964), Finland (28th January 1969), Australia (7th June 1971), New Zealand (29th May 1973), Mexico (18th May 1994), the Czech Republic (21st December 1995), Hungary (7th May 1996), Poland (22nd November 1996), Korea (12th December 1996) and the Slovak Republic (14 December 2000). The Commission of the European Communities takes part in the work of the OECD (Article 13 of the OECD Convention).

## NUCLEAR ENERGY AGENCY

The OECD Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) was established on 1st February 1958 under the name of the OEEC European Nuclear Energy Agency. It received its present designation on 20th April 1972, when Japan became its first non-European full Member. NEA membership today consists of 28 OECD Member countries: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Slovak Republic, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Kingdom and the United States. The Commission of the European Communities also takes part in the work of the Agency.

The mission of the NEA is:

- to assist its Member countries in maintaining and further developing, through international co-operation, the scientific, technological and legal bases required for a safe, environmentally friendly and economical use of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes, as well as
- to provide authoritative assessments and to forge common understandings on key issues, as input to government decisions on nuclear energy policy and to broader OECD policy analyses in areas such as energy and sustainable development.

Specific areas of competence of the NEA include safety and regulation of nuclear activities, radioactive waste management, radiological protection, nuclear science, economic and technical analyses of the nuclear fuel cycle, nuclear law and liability, and public information. The NEA Data Bank provides nuclear data and computer program services for participating countries.

In these and related tasks, the NEA works in close collaboration with the International Atomic Energy Agency in Vienna, with which it has a Co-operation Agreement, as well as with other international organisations in the nuclear field.

### © OECD 2003

Permission to reproduce a portion of this work for non-commercial purposes or classroom use should be obtained through the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CCF), 20, rue des Grands-Augustins, 75006 Paris, France, Tel. (33-1) 44 07 47 70, Fax (33-1) 46 34 67 19, for every country except the United States. In the United States permission should be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, (508)750-8400, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA, or CCC Online: <http://www.copyright.com/>. All other applications for permission to reproduce or translate all or part of this book should be made to OECD Publications, 2, rue André-Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

## **COMMITTEE ON NUCLEAR REGULATORY ACTIVITIES**

The Committee on Nuclear Regulatory Activities (CNRA) of the OECD Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) is an international committee made up primarily of senior nuclear regulators. It was set up in 1989 as a forum for the exchange of information and experience among regulatory organisations and for the review of developments that could affect regulatory requirements.

The Committee is responsible for the NEA programme, concerning the regulation, licensing and inspection of nuclear installations. The Committee reviews developments that could affect regulatory requirements with the objective of providing members with an understanding of the motivation for new regulatory requirements under consideration and an opportunity to offer suggestions that might improve them or avoid disparities among member countries. In particular, the Committee reviews current practices and operating experience.

The Committee focuses primarily on power reactors and other nuclear installations currently being built and operated. It also may consider the regulatory implications of new designs of power reactors and other types of nuclear installations.

In implementing its programme, the CNRA establishes co-operative mechanisms with the NEA Committee on the Safety of Nuclear Installations (CSNI), responsible for co-ordinating the activities of the Agency concerning the technical aspects of design, construction and operation of nuclear installations insofar as they affect the safety of such installations. It also co-operates with the NEA Committee on Radiation Protection and Public Health (CRPPH) and the NEA Radioactive Waste Management Committee (RWMC) on matters of common interest.

**ABSTRACT**

This report by the Working Group on Inspection Practices provides information and perspectives on how inspections of nuclear fuel cycle facilities are carried out in NEA member countries.

## **FOREWORD**

The CNRA believes that safety inspections are a major element in the regulatory authority's efforts to ensure the safe operation of nuclear facilities. Considering the importance of these issues, the Committee has established a special Working Group on Inspection Practices (WGIP). The purpose of WGIP is to facilitate the exchange of information and experience related to regulatory safety inspections between CNRA Member countries.

In offering thanks to the members of WGIP who provided valuable time and considerable efforts towards the production of this report, the NEA Secretariat also wishes to acknowledge the special work of Mr. Yves Balloffet, Chairman of WGIP who undertook the lead role in producing the report.

## **INSPECTIONS OF FUEL CYCLE FACILITIES - COMPARISON OF PRACTICES AND SUGGESTION OF COMMENDABLE PRACTICES**

### **1. Introduction**

This task originated from discussions held at WGIP the meeting, which followed the event at Tokai-mura in Japan. WGIP members felt it was important to look at how inspections were performed on these types of facilities in addition to the work carried out on NPPs and research reactors. CNRA approved the task at its June 1999 meeting.

### **2. Questionnaire**

The task group issued a small questionnaire (see appendix) in late 2000 and analysed the responses received. The questionnaire was based on a similar one developed for inspections on research reactors and was structured in a checklist format. The first part covered legal and license inspection requirements and the regulatory inspection programme. The second part looked more in detail at the inspection programme requirements. This included information on what types of inspections are performed, manpower requirements, etc.

Ten member countries responded to the questionnaire; Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Japan, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom and the United States. An analysis of the results are described in the following section of the report and depicted in the accompanying tables. Because of the nature of this type of questionnaire, completed versions of the individual country responses are not available, but more information can be obtained by contacting the Secretariat.

### **3. Analysis of Responses**

#### **3.1 General**

All countries with facilities of this nature have answered: France, United Kingdom, Germany, Belgium, Spain, Sweden, Canada, Japan and the United States.

The results are given in overall tables (1 to 6), which emphasise on the inspection activities.

They show average figures for a plant of the considered type.

In most cases, the figures refer to Regulatory Body inspections carried out on site, although sometimes (like for Germany, Netherlands) the figures include inspection activities dealt with by other bodies.

### 3.2 *Inspectors*

In **some countries** (like Netherlands, Spain, United Kingdom), **they are not fully dedicated to fuel cycle plants** (in other words, they also inspect NPPs).

In **others** (France, Germany, Sweden, Japan), **they are dedicated to a fuel cycle plant**; they can even be resident inspectors like in Japan (for their so called “safety” quarterly inspections, that have started in 2000), or in the United States (only for enrichment plants, and highly enriched uranium plants).

### 3.3 *Inspection frequency and inspection manpower*

It is very difficult to compare, especially between the 3 types of fuel cycle plants. There are more or less 3 or 4 inspection types, related to inspection frequency and inspection manpower:

- **frequent routine site visits or inspections** with no pre-planned topics (like in Sweden/Belgium – once/twice a month per fuel manufacturing plant- or pre-planned topics like in Germany (twice a month for criticality, radiation protection and plant safety),
- **routine inspections on pre-selected inspection topics**, to be chosen from a list of topics (to be covered every 3 years in the United Kingdom, 3 years in France, 1 year in the United States - where criticality is inspected 2 or 3 times a year, and fire protection each year - they average to about 15 inspections of that type per year, for a fuel manufacturing plant,
- **“special” inspections**, with reinforced inspector and expert manpower, like in Germany (1 on radiation protection and 2 on criticality each year), Japan (1 quarterly for each facility, which is called “safety inspection”), the United States (only in response to events or generic issues), Sweden (1 or 2 per year) and France (1 per year).

In addition, in some countries, the inspectors (or experts acting on their behalf) witness functional and in service tests, performed on the plant (this represents nearly 2/3 of inspection manpower in Germany, see table 2).

### 3.4 *Inspection topics*

The range is the same more or less, and covers the following:

1. Under operation
2. At shutdown
3. Emergency planning
4. Ext. Hazards (incl. fire protection)
5. Safety issues (incl. criticality)
6. Radiation Protection
7. Environment

8. Organisation
9. Event reporting
10. Other

For the **criticality issue** (item 5), it is hard to tell exactly, because some countries have specific dedicated criticality inspections, others not, **but it seems that Japan, Germany, United Kingdom and the United States do emphasise this topic.**

Item 7 (environment) is sometimes dealt with by other governmental bodies (e.g., Netherlands, Sweden).

Item 8 (organisation) is mostly covered by United Kingdom, and not at all by France.

### **3.5**      *Contribution to reviews and assessments*

The answers vary, but **there is a natural trend to enforce such contribution in the near future** (like in France, with the new “yearly reports”). It is done in the United States.

### **3.6**      *Other Issues*

INES Reporting - Several countries do report: France, United Kingdom, Germany, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden. The United States have just started to report.

## **4**          **Conclusions**

This review of inspection practices shows that the comparison is especially valuable for the fuel manufacturing plants, since their number is larger than the fuel enrichment and fuel reprocessing plants consequently, the statistics are more representative.

Nevertheless, the set of commendable practices below apply to all of these types of facilities

### *Commendable Practices*

1. The Regulatory Inspector Body inspects the plants through a structured and updated inspection program.
2. The Regulatory Inspector Body regularly performs dedicated inspections on radiation protection and, if applicable for the plants, on criticality.
3. The Regulatory Inspector Body develops further dedicated inspections on plant and company organisation.
4. The Regulatory Inspector Body reviews the totality of inspection results in order to contribute to the overall plant safety assessment.
5. The Regulatory Inspector Body develops international exchanges on inspection practices.



**List of Tables:**

1. Fuel manufacturing (France/United Kingdom/United States)
2. Fuel manufacturing (Germany/Belgium/Spain)
3. Fuel manufacturing (Canada/Japan/Sweden)
4. Fuel enrichment (France/United Kingdom/United States)
5. Fuel enrichment (Germany/Netherlands/Japan)
6. Fuel reprocessing (France/United Kingdom/Japan)

Table 1 – Fuel Manufacturing

| Country  |                               | France  | United Kingdom         | United States                         |
|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Plants   |                               | (4) SICN, FBFC Romans, COGEMA Melox and COGEMA-CFCA | (1) Springfields, BNFL | (7) LEU / HEU                         |
| Reporting to the Authority                                 |                               | Twice per year                                      |                        | Yes (new)                             |
| INES Reporting   |                               | Yes   | Yes                    | Yearly <sup>1</sup>                   |
| Inspection Programmes                                      |                               | Yes   | Yes                    | 17 <sup>2</sup>                       |
| Average number of Inspections / year / plant               | Announced                     | 9   | 12                     |                                       |
|  | Unannounced                   | 1   | 2                      |                                       |
|  | Special                       | 0   | 2                      |                                       |
|  | Total                         | 10  | 16                     | 17                                    |
| Average number of inspectors (person x day) / year / plant |                               | 20  | 16 x ?                 | 47 to 96                              |
| Use of additional experts (person x day) / year / plant    |                               | 10  | ?                      |                                       |
| Average number of Inspections / topic and / year           | Under Operation               | 10  | 2                      | 2                                     |
|  | At Shutdown                   | 0   | 1                      | 1                                     |
|  | Emergency Planning            | 2   | 2                      | 1                                     |
|  | External Hazards <sup>3</sup> | 4 (4)   | 1                      | 1                                     |
|  | Safety Issues <sup>4</sup>    | 5 (2)   | 1                      | 3.5 (2.5)                             |
|  | Radiation Protection          | 1   | 1                      | 1                                     |
|  | Environment                   | 5   | 2                      | 2                                     |
|  | Organisation                  | 4   | 1                      | 1                                     |
|  | Event Reporting               | 1   | 0                      | 0                                     |
|  | Other                         | 0   | 0                      | 0                                     |
| Total  | 10                            | 12  | 17                     |                                       |
| Contribution to Periodic Safety Reviews                    |                               | Annual Review (started in 2000)                     |                        | Annual or Biannual Performance Review |
| Regular contact with licensees' management                 |                               | Yearly meetings                                     | Yes                    |                                       |
| Miscellaneous  |                               |   |                        |                                       |

1. 4 month to 3 year topic frequency
2. In addition to resident inspector effort (only for HEU plants)
3. Including fire protection
4. Including criticality

Table 1 – Fuel Manufacturing (continued)

| Country  |                                | Germany  | Belgium                                     | Spain          |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------|
| Plants   |                                | (1) ANF-Lingen                                       | (1) Dessel                                  | (1) Juzbado    |
| Reporting to the Authority                                 |                                | Yes  | NO  | Yes (monthly)  |
| INES Reporting   |                                | Yes  | Yes   | Yes            |
| Inspection Programmes                                      |                                | Recurrent (monthly)<br>General (yearly)              | Standard                                    | Annual         |
| Average number of Inspections / year / plant               | Announced                      | 50 <sup>5</sup>                                      | 24 <sup>6</sup>                             | 12             |
|  | Unannounced                    | 24 <sup>7</sup>                                      | 0   | 0              |
|  | Special                        | 4  | 0   | 1 <sup>8</sup> |
|  | Total                          | 78   | 24  | 13             |
| Average number of inspectors (person x day) / year / plant |                                | 82   | 60  | 30             |
| Use of additional experts (person x day) / year / plant    |                                | TUV experts <sup>9</sup>                             |   |                |
| Average number of Inspections / topic and / year           | Under Operation                | 40   | To be covered twice per year                | 4              |
|  | At Shutdown                    |  |   | 0              |
|  | Emergency Planning             | 2  |   | 1              |
|  | External Hazards <sup>10</sup> | 4 (2)  |   | 1 (1)          |
|  | Safety Issues <sup>11</sup>    | 6 (2)  |   | 2 (1)          |
|  | Radiation Protection           | 4  |   | 1              |
|  | Environment                    | 8  |   | 2              |
|  | Organisation                   | 4  |   |                |
|  | Event Reporting                | 5  |   | 1              |
|  | Other                          | 10   |   | 1              |
|  | Total                          | 78   |   | 13             |
| Contribution to Periodic Safety Reviews                    |                                | No   | Yes   | No             |
| Regular contact with licensees' management                 |                                | Yes  | Yes   | Yes            |
| Miscellaneous  |                                | Use of foreign experience feedback (reported events) | No enforcement power (only recommendations) |                |

- 
5. UV
  6. 2 per month
  7. 2 per month – Regional Regulatory Body
  8. Event investigation
  9. Used for general inspections (criticality, RP)
  10. Including fire protection
  11. Including criticality

Table 1 – Fuel Manufacturing (continued)

| Country  |                                | Canada   | Japan   | Sweden  |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Plants   |                                | 2  | (4) MNF, GNF-J, NFI (Tokai, Kumatori)   | (1) Vasteras  |
| Reporting to the Authority                                 |                                | Yes (quarterly/annually)   | No  | Yes   |
| INES Reporting   |                                | No   | Yes   | Yes   |
| Inspection Programmes                                      |                                | Quarterly (5 year license)   | Facility (yearly)<br>Safety (quarterly)   | Yes (yearly)  |
| Average number of Inspections / year / plant               | Announced                      | 4  | 1   | 12  |
|  | Unannounced                    | 0  | 0   | 0   |
|  | Special                        | 2  | 4   | 1   |
|  | Total                          | 6  | 5   | 13  |
| Average number of inspectors (person x day) / year / plant |                                | 10   | 72  | 30 <sup>12</sup>  |
| Use of additional experts (person x day) / year / plant    |                                | 18   |   |   |
| Average number of Inspections / topic and / year           | Under Operation                | All  | Check points of each inspection:<br><br>Facility Inspection: under operation, at shutdown, external hazards, safety issues, radiation protection and environment<br><br>Safety Inspection: all topics from 'under operation' to 'other' of left column. | Site visits cover event reporting, QA audits, changes in organisation, modifications<br><br>Special inspections cover some safety-related issues. |
|  | At Shutdown                    |  |   |   |
|  | Emergency Planning             |  |   |   |
|  | External Hazards <sup>13</sup> | 1  |   |   |
|  | Safety Issues <sup>14</sup>    |  |   |   |
|  | Radiation Protection           | 2  |   |   |
|  | Environment                    | 2  |   |   |
|  | Organisation                   |  |   |   |
|  | Event Reporting                |  |   |   |
|  | Other                          | 1  |   |   |
| Total  | 6                              |  |   |   |
| Contribution to Periodic Safety Reviews                    |                                | No   | Yes   | Yes (possibly)  |
| Regular contact with licensees' management                 |                                | Yes  |   | Yes (SKI Forum (yearly))  |
| Miscellaneous  |                                | Inspections frequency depends on risk of licensees activity and inspection resources | Importance of inspections on safety training  | Importance of inspections on licensees ability to perform independent safety reviews.   |

12. Not including inspections from the Swedish Radiation Protection Institute

13. Including fire protection

14. Including criticality

Table 2 – Fuel Enrichment

| Country  |                                | France   | United Kingdom                                  | United States                          |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Plants   |                                | (1) Eurodif  | (2) Capen Hurst and Urenco                      | 2                                      |
| Reporting to the Authority                                 |                                | Twice per year   |   | No                                     |
| INES Reporting   |                                | Yes  | Yes   | Yes (new)                              |
| Inspection Programmes                                      |                                | Yearly   | Yearly <sup>15</sup>                            | Yearly <sup>16</sup>                   |
| Average <sup>17</sup> number of Inspections / year / plant | Announced                      | 7  | 24  | 14 <sup>18</sup>                       |
|  | Unannounced                    | 1  | 2   |  |
|  | Special                        | 0  | 1   |  |
|  | Total                          | 8  | 27 (for 1 or 2 plants)                          | 47                                     |
| Average number of inspectors (person x day) / year / plant |                                | 16   | 27  | ?                                      |
| Use of additional experts (person x day) / year / plant    |                                | 8  | ?   | ?                                      |
| Average number of Inspections / topic and / year           | Under Operation                | 2  | 1   | 1                                      |
|  | At Shutdown                    | 1  | 2   | 1                                      |
|  | Emergency Planning             | ¼  | 4   | 1                                      |
|  | External Hazards <sup>19</sup> | 1 (1)  | 1   | 1                                      |
|  | Safety Issues <sup>20</sup>    | 2 (1)  | 2   | 3 (2)                                  |
|  | Radiation Protection           | ¼  | 1   | 1                                      |
|  | Environment                    | ½  | 4   | 3                                      |
|  | Organisation                   |  | 5   | 0                                      |
|  | Event Reporting                |  | 1   | 0                                      |
|  | Other                          |  | 3   | 3                                      |
| Total  | 7                              | 24   | 14  |  |
| Contribution to Periodic Safety Reviews                    |                                | Annual (started in 2000)<br>Overall 10 year safety re-assessment | Annual review and rating of inspection findings | Annual or bi-annual performance review |
| Regular contact with licensees' management                 |                                |  | Vital requirement (?)                           |  |
| Miscellaneous  |                                | Pressure check of UF6 Flasks                                     |   |  |

15. Covers all License Conditions every 3 years

16. 4 month to 3 year topic frequency

17. Average for all plants

18. In addition to resident inspector effort

19. Including fire protection

20. Including criticality

Table 2 – Fuel Enrichment (continued)

| Country  |                                | Germany   | The Netherlands       | Japan   |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Plants   |                                | (1) Urenco-Gronam   | (1) Urenco            | (2) JNC, JNFL <sup>21</sup>   |
| Reporting to the Authority                                 |                                |   | 6 monthly             | No  |
| INES Reporting   |                                | Yes   | Yes                   | Yes   |
| Inspection Programmes                                      |                                | Recurrent (monthly)<br>General (yearly)                   | Standard              | Facility ( yearly)<br>Safety (quarterly)  |
| Average number of Inspections / year / plant               | Announced                      | 46  | 4                     | 1   |
|  | Unannounced                    | 20  | 0                     | 0   |
|  | Special                        | 4   | 0                     | 4   |
|  | Total                          | 70  | 4                     | 5   |
| Average number of inspectors (person x day) / year / plant |                                | 74  |                       | 58  |
| Use of additional experts (person x day) / year / plant    |                                | TUV experts <sup>22</sup>                                 |                       |   |
| Average number of Inspections / topic and / year           | Under Operation                | 36  | 0.4                   | Check points of each inspection:<br>Facility Inspection: under operation, at shutdown, external hazards, safety issues, radiation protection and environment<br>Safety Inspection: all topics from 'under operation' to 'other' of left column. |
|  | At Shutdown                    |   |                       |   |
|  | Emergency Planning             | 2   | 0.2                   |   |
|  | External Hazards <sup>23</sup> | 4 (2)   | 1                     |   |
|  | Safety Issues <sup>24</sup>    | 6 (2)   | 1                     |   |
|  | Radiation Protection           | 4   | 2 <sup>25</sup>       |   |
|  | Environment                    | 6   | 0.8                   |   |
|  | Organisation                   | 4   | 0.4                   |   |
|  | Event Reporting                | 3   | 0.2                   |   |
|  | Other                          | 5   |                       |   |
|  | Total                          | 70  | 6                     |   |
| Contribution to Periodic Safety Reviews                    |                                | No  | No                    | Yes   |
| Regular contact with licensees' management                 |                                | Yes   | Yes (started in 2001) |   |
| Miscellaneous  |                                | Use of foreign experience feedback (from reported events) |                       | Importance of inspections on safety training  |

21 . Categorised as fabrication under regulation.

22. Used for general inspections (criticality, RP)

23. Including fire protection

24. Including criticality

25. Includes extra environmental inspections

Table 3 – Fuel Reprocessing

| Country  |                                | France   | United Kingdom                                  | Japan   |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Plants   |                                | (1) La Hague Cogema  | (1) Sellafield BNFL                             | (2) JNC, JNFL   |
| Reporting to the Authority                                 |                                | Half yearly  |   | No  |
| INES Reporting   |                                | Yes  | Yes   | Yes   |
| Inspection Programmes                                      |                                | Yearly   | Yearly (2 year frequency)                       | Facility ( yearly)<br>Safety (quarterly)  |
| Average number of Inspections / year / plant               | Announced                      | 52   | 380   | 1   |
|  | Unannounced                    | 3  | 30  | 0   |
|  | Special                        | 1 <sup>26</sup>  | 180   | 4   |
|  | Total                          | 56   | 590   | 5   |
| Average number of inspectors (person x day) / year / plant |                                | 130  | 590   | 82  |
| Use of additional experts (person x day) / year / plant    |                                | 68   | ?   |   |
| Average number of Inspections / topic and / year           | Under Operation                | 25   | 90  | Check points of each inspection:<br>Facility Inspection: under operation, at shutdown, external hazards, safety issues, radiation protection and environment<br><br>Safety Inspection: all topics from 'under operation' to 'other' of left column. |
|  | At Shutdown                    | 1  | 90  |   |
|  | Emergency Planning             | 2  | 47  |   |
|  | External Hazards <sup>27</sup> | 6 (6)  | 12  |   |
|  | Safety Issues <sup>28</sup>    | 12 (3)   | 100 (47)  |   |
|  | Radiation Protection           | 3  | 47  |   |
|  | Environment                    | 4  | 95  |   |
|  | Organisation                   | 2  | 60  |   |
|  | Event Reporting                |  | 12  |   |
|  | Other                          |  | 12  |   |
|  | Total                          | 55   | 565   |   |
| Contribution to Periodic Safety Reviews                    |                                | Annual (started in 2000)<br>Overall 10 year safety re-assessment | Annual review and rating of inspection findings | Yes, periodical licensees self inspection   |
| Regular contact with licensees' management                 |                                |  |   |   |
| Miscellaneous  |                                | Yearly meeting   | Vital requirement (?)                           | Importance of inspection on safety training   |

26. Criticality in 2000.

27. Including fire protection

28. Including criticality

## Appendix QUESTIONNAIRE ON INSPECTION IN FUEL CYCLE FACILITIES

**Reminder:** This questionnaire covers the safety of the facilities, together with the radiation protection and environmental issues.

This questionnaire is fully relevant to the fuel manufacturing plants as well; but in that case, it does not cover the quality control of the produced fuel elements (which, in some countries is also an inspection topic for the regulator).

### 1. Legal Requirements

|                             | YES | NO | COMMENTS |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|----------|
| Acts, Decrees valid?        |     |    |          |
| License needed?             |     |    |          |
| Safety report needed?       |     |    |          |
| Reporting on INES system?   |     |    |          |
| Waste disposal regulations? |     |    |          |
| Emergency Preparedness?     |     |    |          |
| Decommissioning?            |     |    |          |
| Enforcement?                |     |    |          |

### 2. License Requirements

|  | YES | NO | COMMENTS |
|--|-----|----|----------|
| Limits for Radioactive releases?                       |     |    |          |
| Operational License Conditions?                        |     |    |          |
| Quality Assurance System?                              |     |    |          |
| Incident reporting system?                             |     |    |          |
| Maintenance / test program?                            |     |    |          |
| Grading materials?                                     |     |    |          |
| Confinement functions?                                 |     |    |          |
| Safety Review Committee?                               |     |    |          |
| Qualification of personnel?                            |     |    |          |
| Radiation control supervision?                         |     |    |          |
| Monthly/quarterly reporting of operation performance ? |     |    |          |
| Periodical Assessment?                                 |     |    |          |



### 3. Regulatory Body

|  | YES | NO | COMMENTS |
|--|-----|----|----------|
| Same inspection authority as for NPPs?             |     |    |          |
| Use of resident inspectors?                        |     |    |          |
| Same inspectors as for NPPs?                       |     |    |          |
| Inspection plan/program available?                 |     |    |          |
| Inspection procedures available?                   |     |    |          |
| Training/qualification of inspectors?              |     |    |          |
| Regular contact on management level with licensee? |     |    |          |
| Reporting system to public / Parliament?           |     |    |          |

### 4. Inspection Programme

Please complete full questionnaire for each type selected:

Fuel enrichment

Fuel manufacturing

Fuel reprocessing

#### 4.1 Determination of inspection program

4.1.1 Yearly

4.1.2 Choice of inspection topics, and their frequency

4.1.3 Inspection type (announced, unannounced, routine, special, ...)

See tables 1 and 2 attached; table 2 should be filled up whenever possible (at least the “total” values)

#### 4.2. Inspection results

4.2.1 Do they contribute to any periodical overall safety assessment of the plant?

4.2.2 Give examples of noteworthy inspection practices (with enforcement)?

4.2.3 Do they help making states of the art reviews, per plant type, or whatever?

4.2.4 Are there any other inspection-related issues, to be mentioned in your country?

**Table 1: number of inspections / per year / per plant type**

| Inspection topic | Linked to plant condition                     |  |  |  |                        |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------|
|                  | Operation (Tech. Spec., Periodic Test, etc..) |  | Shutdown (Maintenance, modifications, etc..) |  | Emergency preparedness |
| Number           |   |  |  |  |                        |

| Inspection Topic | Hazards    |                 | Main Safety Issues          |             |       | Environment                                |          |       |
|------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|--|----------|-------|
|                  | Earthquake | Fire protection | Confinement and Ventilation | Criticality | Other | Radiation Protection (incl. contamination) | Releases | Waste |
| Number           |            |                 |                             |             |       |  |          |       |

| Inspection Topic | Organisation / Safety Culture | Event Reporting | Others | TOTAL (*)    |  |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------|--|
|                  |                               |                 |        | A            |  |
| Number           |                               |                 |        | U            |  |
|                  |                               |                 |        | S            |  |
|                  |                               |                 |        | <b>Total</b> |  |

\* - Total of inspections/year: Please specify as A = Announced inspection (routine); U = Unannounced; or S = Special

**Table 2 : Inspections person x days / per year / per plant type**

| <b>Inspection Topic</b> | Linked to plant condition                     |  |  |  |                        |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------|
|                         | Operation (Tech. Spec., Periodic Test, etc..) |  | Shutdown (Maintenance, modifications, etc..) |  | Emergency preparedness |
| <b>Person x day</b>     |   |  |  |  |                        |

| <b>Inspection Topic</b> | Hazards    |                 | Main safety Issues          |             |       | Environment                                |          |       |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|--|----------|-------|
|                         | Earthquake | Fire protection | Confinement and Ventilation | Criticality | Other | Radiation Protection (incl. contamination) | Releases | Waste |
| <b>Person x days</b>    |            |                 |                             |             |       |  |          |       |

| <b>Inspection Topic</b> | Organisation / Safety Culture | Event Reporting | Others | TOTAL (*)    |  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------|--|
|                         |                               |                 |        | <b>A</b>     |  |
| <b>Number</b>           |                               |                 |        | <b>U</b>     |  |
|                         |                               |                 |        | <b>S</b>     |  |
|                         |                               |                 |        | <b>Total</b> |  |

\* - Total of inspections/year: Please specify as A = Announced inspection (routine); U = Unannounced; or S = Special