

Nuclear Financing:

Effective Frameworks and Strategies for Financing Nuclear New Build

Dr Michel Berthélemy Senior Advisor Division of Nuclear Technology Development and Economics (NTE)



Overview

NEA Nuclear Financing Case Studies



EXAMPLE

Olkiluoto 3 financing framework and risk allocation

OLKILUOTO 3 – FINANCING FRAMEWORK

(Operational, financing framework at time of investment decision)



Olkiluoto 3 – Risk Allocation

		Political & Regulatory	Construction	Operational	Electricity Market	Decommissioning & Waste Management
Operator	τνο					
EPC / vendor	Areva-Siemens					
Equity providers	Consortium of electro-intensive companies					
Debt providers	Coface, Commercial banks					
Government	Finnish Government					
Consumers	-					
Legend: Level of Risk Exposure		High	Moderate	Low	No Exposure	Not Applicable

Comparative Analysis Across Case Studies

Understanding Risks: De-risking construction is key to attracting additional sources of funding and to reducing the cost of capital

- Construction risks arising from costs overruns and delays are the most significant
- The case studies demonstrate the need of balancing:
 - The ability to **mitigate risks** before construction
 - The ability to **absorb risks** during construction

Ability to
Mitigate Risk
Before
ConstructionAbility to
Absorb Risk
During
Construction

Range of risk exposure across the case studies

	Range of risk exposure across the case studies	Political and regulatory risks	Construction risks	Operational risks	Electricity market risks	Decommissioning and waste management risks
Operators	<i>Low to moderate</i>					
EPC and/or vendor	Moderate to high					
Equity providers	Low to high					
Debt providers	Low					
Governments	Low to high					
Consumers	Low to high					

Role of Governments: *Beyond direct and indirect financial measures*

Summary of Policy Measures across the Case Studies

	Non Financial						
Direct	Indirect	Non-Financial					
Equity investment	Construction cost recovery mechanism	Policy support & regulatory stability					
Debt financing	Long-term power purchase contract	Provision of infrastructure & site					
	Loan guarantee	Inter-governmental agreement					
	Export credit	 Final risk taker (residual risks) 					
	Fiscal policy	Change of law protection					
		Workforce development					
		Electricity market design					
		Legislative framework					
		Technology transfer					
		Licensing framework					
		Waste management					

Role of the Private Sector: *Summary of the NEA financing case studies*



Level of Private Funding

Note: Numbers in parentheses indicate the order of the project announcements

- Based on the case studies reviewed, they are limits to how much risk can be allocated to private investors, particularly for projects with unproven designs
- Some recent projects have seen a higher degree of government involvement
- National and industrial contexts also play a role in the level of the private sector in nuclear financing

Role of the Private Sector: Can be larger with proven design and supply chain



Level of Private Funding

- FOAK projects with unproven designs expected to require publicprivate partnerships with a larger role for the public sector in terms of level of risk carried and level of funding
- B As the industry gets to higher level of design and supply chain maturity, a larger role can be expected for the private sector
- **G** Serial construction, including small modular reactors, may unlock additional investment and risk sharing from private financiers

Additional sources of funding can be unlocked once nuclear power plants are in operation

		\sum	Development	\geq	Construction	\sum	Operation	>	Funding Potential
Equity Investors	Strategic industrial partners e.g. electro-intensive industry								+
	EPC / vendor								+
	Equity markets								++
	Hedge funds								+
	Infrastructure funds								+++
Debt Financiers	Shareholder loan								+++
	Bond market								+++
	Commercial banks with government or ECA guarantees								+++
	Commercial banks without government or ECA guarantees								+++
Legend: Level of Interest High Moderate Low No Interest Not Applicable									
Funding I	Potential +++ High ++ Moderate +	OW							

© 2024 OECD/NEA

High Level Takeaways

Financing frameworks remain closely linked to national and industrial contexts

- Financing frameworks do not exist in a vaccum but interact with national and industrial contexts
- Lessons learned must be contextualized before they can be transferred to other settings
 - This requires a solid understanding of how a financing framework connects to the policy and industrial environments



© 2024 OECD/NEA

Financing frameworks cannot solve structural problems caused during upfront project planning

 Effective project management and delivery structure are key to efficient construction risk mitigation and a prerequisite to developing financing

1. Long-term National Commitment to Nuclear Energy 2. Upfront Project Planning (including design maturity and delivery strategy)

3. Financing Framework

© 2024 OECD/NEA

Aligning stakeholder interests should remain an overarching principle

 The importance of allocating risks between parties should not distract from the overarching objective of aligning stakeholder interests.





Thank you for your attention